arXiv:hep-ph/9702238v1 4 Feb 1997

CINVESTAV-FIS-13/96

Non-leptonic B decays involving tensor mesons

G. López Castro[1] and J. H. Muñoz[1,2]

[1] *Departamento de Física, Cinvestav del IPN, Apdo.*
Postal 14-740, 07000 México, D.F., MEXICO.

[2] *Departamento de Física, Universidad del Tolima,*
A.A. 546, Ibagué, COLOMBIA.

Abstract

Two-body non-leptonic decays of B mesons into PT and VT modes are calculated using the non-relativistic quark model of Isgur *et al.*. The predictions obtained for $B \to \pi D_2^*,\ \rho D_2^*$ are a factor of $3 \sim 5$ below present experimental upper limits. Interesting patterns are obtained for ratios of B decays involving mesons with different spin excitations and their relevance for additional tests of forms factor models are briefly discussed.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Jh, 13.25.Hw, 14.40.Nd

Weak non-leptonic decays of B mesons involving mesons of intrinsic orbital momentum $l \geq 1$ in final states, are expected to be very suppressed [1, 2]. The experimental values for B decay into orbitally excited charmed mesons, which are allowed at lowest order *via* external W-emission diagrams, exhibit the following suppressions [1]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{B(B^+ \to \overline{D_2^{*0}}\rho^+)}{B(B^+ \to \overline{D^0}\rho^+)} &\leq 0.35 \\
\frac{B(B^+ \to \overline{D_1^{*0}}\rho^+)}{B(B^+ \to \overline{D^0}\rho^+)} &\leq 0.104 \\
\frac{B(B^+ \to \overline{D_1^{*0}}\pi^+)}{B(B^+ \to \overline{D^0}\pi^+)} &= 0.28 \\
\frac{B(B^0 \to D_2^{*-}\rho^+)}{B(B^0 \to D^-\rho^+)} &\leq 0.63.
\end{aligned}
$$

Predictions for these B decays are important because they would provide additional tests for the factorization hypothesis and form factor models used to describe exclusive modes in B decays. On the other hand, analogous ratios of B decays involving lowest lying mesons ($l = 0$), namely $B \to XV/XP$ (V and P stand for vector and pseudoscalar mesons), such as [1]: $B^+ \to \overline{D^0}\rho^+/\overline{D^0}\pi^+ = 2.53$, $B^+ \to \overline{D^{*0}}\rho^+/\overline{D^{*0}}\pi^+ = 2.98$, $B^0 \to D^-\rho^+/D^-\pi^+ = 2.60$ and $B^0 \to D^{*-}\rho^+/D^{*-}\pi^+ = 2.81$, show the expected behavior due to the three degrees of freedom of vector particles.

Non-leptonic decays of B and D mesons involving scalar and tensor mesons have been calculated previously in a series of papers by Katoch and Verma [3, 4, 5] using the non-relativistic quark model of Ref. [2]. B decays into final states involving tensor mesons ($J^{PC} = 2^{++}$) are not suppressed by phase-space considerations as in the case of D decays. Actually, upper limits for B decays into PT and VT channels (T denotes the tensor meson) at the level of $10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$, both for Cabibbo-favored and Cabibbo-suppressed modes, are reported in the literature [1]. Furthermore, according to the Particle Data Group (see p.99 in Ref. [1]), the multiplet of tensor mesons is well established among the ones for the $q\bar{q}$ assignement for the case of four flavors.

In this paper we compute the branching fractions for B decays into PT and VT final states[1] using the non-relativistic quark model of Isgur *et al.* [2]. While there are not available predictions for the VT decay modes, our results for the PT modes differ quantitatively from those of Ref. [3]. We present possible reasons for this discrepancy.

We start by introducing the effective weak hamiltonian for non-leptonic, Cabibbo favored, b decays:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{eff} &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ V_{cb}V_{ud}^{*}[a_1(\bar{c}b)(\bar{d}u) + a_2(\bar{d}b)(\bar{c}u)] \right. \\ & \left. \qquad + V_{cb}V_{cs}^{*}[a_1(\bar{c}b)(\bar{s}c) + a_2(\bar{s}b)(\bar{c}c)] \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $(\bar{q}q')$ is a short notation for the V–A current, G_F denotes the Fermi constant and V_{ij} are the relevant Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa mixing factors. The numerical values $a_1 = 1.15$ and $a_2 = 0.26$, which are obtained from a fit to $B \to PP, VP$ decays [3], will be used throughout this paper.

Since the internal W-emission diagrams are color suppressed, the leading contribution to the decays under consideration are given by the W-external diagram. Following Refs. [3, 4, 5], we write the decay amplitudes in the following general form:

---

[1] Note that the scalar+tensor final states are suppressed respect to VT and PT because the $< S|V_{\mu}^{\text{weak}}|0 >$ amplitude gives a further suppression factor.

$$\mathcal{M}(B\to PT) = i\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}(CKM \text{ factors})(QCD \text{ factor}) f_P \varepsilon^*_{\mu\nu} p_B^\mu p_B^\nu \mathcal{F}^{B\to T}(m_P^2) \tag{2}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(B\to VT) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}(CKM \text{ factors})(QCD \text{ factor}) m_V^2 f_V \varepsilon^{*\psi\tau} \mathcal{F}^{B\to T}_{\psi\tau}(m_V^2) \tag{3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}^{B\to T}(m_P^2) &= k + b_+(m_B^2 - m_T^2) + b_- m_P^2 && (4)\\
\mathcal{F}^{B\to T}_{\psi\tau}(m_V^2) &= \varepsilon^*_\mu (p_B+p_T)_\sigma [ih\epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} g_{\psi\nu}(p_V)_\tau (p_V)_\rho + k\delta^\mu_\psi \delta^\sigma_\tau \\
&\quad + b_+ (p_V)_\psi (p_V)_\tau g^{\sigma\mu}]. && (5)
\end{aligned}$$

In the above expressions, ε^*_μ denotes the polarization four-vector of V, $\varepsilon^*_{\mu\nu}$ is the symmetric and traceless tensor describing the polarization of tensor mesons ($p_T^\mu \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} p_T^\nu = 0$) and p_i correspond to the four-momenta of the particles. The argument in the functions $\mathcal{F}^{B\to T}$ means that the form factors h, k, b_+ and b_- should be evaluated at those values (namely, m_P^2 or m_V^2). The term (QCD factor) in the amplitudes refers to either a_1 or a_2. Note that in the above expressions we have only one contribution to the decay amplitudes because the matrix element $< T|j_\mu^{weak}|0 >$ vanishes identically.

The hadronic matrix elements used in the previous expressions are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
< T|j^\mu|B > &= ih\epsilon^{\mu\nu\phi\rho}\varepsilon^*_{\nu\alpha} p_B^\alpha (p_B+p_T)_\phi (p_B-p_T)_\rho + k\varepsilon^{*\mu\nu}(p_B)_\nu \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{*\alpha\beta}(p_B)_\alpha (p_B)_\beta [b_+(p_B+p_T)^\mu + b_-(p_B-p_T)^\mu] && (6)\\
< P|A^\mu|0 > &= if_P p_P^\mu && (7)\\
< V|V^\mu|0 > &= m_V^2 f_V \varepsilon^\mu. && (8)
\end{aligned}$$

The form factors $k,\ h,\ b_+$ and b_- which describe the $B \to T$ transition, have been calculated in the non-relativistic quark model of Ref. [2]. Note that b_- gives a negligible contribution to PT modes, while it does not appear at all in the decay amplitude for VT modes because $p_V^\mu \varepsilon^*_\mu = 0$.

The central values for the decay constants of pseudoscalar mesons relevant for our calculations are (in GeV units): $f_\pi = 0.131,\ f_{\eta_c} = 0.384$ [1, 3] and $f_D = 0.217,\ f_{D_s} = 0.241$ (we assume the isospin symmetry relation $f_{D^0} = f_{D^+}$). The value for the D_s decay constant [6] includes two recent determinations from the $D_s \to \mu\nu$ decay [7], and f_D is obtained using the theoretical prediction $f_D/f_{D_s} = 0.90$ [8] based on a lattice calculation[2]. On the other hand, the dimensionless decay constants of vector mesons are: $f_{\rho^-} = 0.2713$ (from $\tau \to \rho\nu_\tau$), $f_{J/\psi} = 0.087$ (from $J/\psi \to e^+e^-$), while for the decay constants of D^{*+} and D_s^* we have estimated their values using the approximate scaling relation $m_V f_V \sim$ constant (see for example [10]). Indeed, using $m_V f_V = 0.231$ GeV (which is obtained from a simple average of $f_{\rho^-},\ f_{K^{*-}},\ f_{J/\psi}$ and $f_{\Upsilon(1S)}$), we obtain $f_{D^*} = 0.1144$ and $f_{D_s^*} = 0.109$.

The expressions for the decay amplitudes in the exclusive modes of B decays are given explicitly in Table 1 for each of the VT modes allowed in the leading approximation; our expressions for the PT amplitudes coincide with those given in Table 1 of Ref. [3].

From the previous expressions we obtain the following decay rates for the PT modes:

$$\Gamma(B \to PT) = |\mathcal{A}(B \to PT)|^2 \left(\frac{m_B}{m_T}\right)^2 \frac{|\vec{p}_P|^5}{12\pi m_T^2} \tag{9}$$

---

[2] Other lattice computations are consistent with this result for f_D/f_{D_s}, while QCD sum rules predict a smaller value (≈ 0.8) for this ratio (see [9] for a summary of results).

where

$$\mathcal{A}(B \to PT) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}(CKM \text{ factors})(QCD \text{ factor}) f_P \mathcal{F}^{B\to T}(m_P^2), \qquad (10)$$

and the expression for the decay into the VT channels is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(B \to VT) &= \frac{G_F^2}{48\pi m_T^4}(CKM \text{ factors})^2 (QCD \text{ factor})^2 \\ &\quad \cdot m_V^2 f_V^2 [\alpha|\vec{p}_V|^7 + \beta|\vec{p}_V|^5 + \gamma|\vec{p}_V|^3] \qquad (11)\end{aligned}$$

where α, β and γ are quadratic functions of the form factors evaluated at $q^2 = m_V^2$, namely:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= 8m_B^4 b_+^2 \\ \beta &= 2m_B^2[6m_V^2 m_T^2 h^2 + 2(m_B^2 - m_T^2 - m_V^2)kb_+ + k^2] \\ \gamma &= 5m_T^2 m_V^2 k^2.\end{aligned}$$

In the above expressions for the decay rates, $\vec{p}_{V(P)}$ denotes the three-momentum of the $V(P)$ meson in the B rest frame ($\vec{p}_{V(P)}$ depends, of course, on the specific decay considered).

In order to obtain the unpolarized rates given in Eqs. (9,11), we have used the following expression for the sum over polarizations of the tensor meson:

$$\begin{aligned}P_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} &= \sum_\lambda \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(p,\lambda)\varepsilon^*_{\alpha\beta}(p,\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\theta_{\mu\alpha}\theta_{\nu\beta} + \theta_{\mu\beta}\theta_{\nu\alpha}) - \frac{1}{3}\theta_{\mu\nu}\theta_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (12)\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_{\mu\nu} \equiv -g_{\mu\nu} + p_\mu p_\nu / m_T^2$. Observe that $P_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ satisfies the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}&P_\mu{}^\mu{}_{\alpha\beta} = P_{\mu\nu\alpha}{}^\alpha = 0 \\ &P_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \\ &P_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}P^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta} = P_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us address some comments on the expressions for the decay rates given in Eqs. (9,11):

(1) The decay rate in the PT mode, Eq. (9), contains an additional factor $(m_B/m_T)^2$ with respect to Eq. (6) in Ref. [3]. This would enhance the prediction for the PT modes by a factor of around $4 \sim 17$, depending on the specific decay mode, with respect to the predictions of Ref. [3]. The origin for this discrepancy could be the expression used for the sum over polarizations of tensor mesons. Note that using Eq. (12), we reproduce the results given in Refs. [11] for the strong decay rates of tensor mesons.

(2) The $|\vec{p}|^5$-dependence in Eq. (9) indicates that only the $l = 2$ wave is allowed for the PT system, while in the VT decay modes the $l = 1,\ 2$ and 3 are simultaneously allowed, as expected.

The numerical values for the corresponding branching ratios are given in Table 2, where we have used the B lifetimes values reported in Ref. [1], and $|V_{bc}| = 0.041,\ |V_{cs}| = 0.975$ and $|V_{ud}| = 0.9736$ [1]. When we computed the rates involving an isoscalar tensor meson (f_2 or f_2'), we have used an octet-singlet mixing angle $\theta_T = 28^o$ or equivalently the deviation from ideal mixing $\phi_T \equiv \arctan(1/\sqrt{2}) - \theta_T \approx 8.3^0$, as in Ref. [3].

From Table 2, we can raise the following conclusions:

(1) The values for the PT branching fractions are larger by a factor of 2.6 $\sim$ 15 than those given in Ref. [3]. The reason for this enhancement has been explained above; the smallest enhancement factor corresponds to the $B \to D_s D_2^*$ decay mode, while the largest value corresponds to $B \to \eta_c K_2^*$. Note that part of the difference between our results and those in Ref. [3] arises from the smaller values that we use for the $D,\ D_s$ decay constants.

(2) When we consider the ratio for the decay modes VT/PT, V and P having

identical quark content, we obtain

$$\frac{\Gamma(B \to VT)}{\Gamma(B \to PT)} = \frac{m_V^2 f_V^2 \{\alpha|\vec{p}_V|^7 + \beta|\vec{p}_V|^5 + \gamma|\vec{p}_V|^3\}}{2[m_B f_P \mathcal{F}^{B\to T}(m_P^2)]^2|\vec{p}_P|^5}. \tag{13}$$

This ratio turns out to be independent of $a_{1,2}$, G_F and Kobayashi-Maskawa mixing factors and would provide a clean test of the factorization hypothesis and of the ISGW model [2]. In the last column of Table 2 we show the ratios corresponding to Eq. (13). The results indicate that the ratios $VT/PT \sim 3$ for processes which amplitudes are proportional to a_1, which are normally expected due to the three degrees of freedom of vector particles. Note, however, that for the other processes, $(VT)/(PT)$ differs substantially from 3 because these decays are not allowed at tree level through the emission of external W (they proceed *vía* amplitudes proportional to a_2).
(3) Our predictions for the decays $B^- \to (\pi^-,\ \rho^-)D_2^{*0}$ and $\overline{B^0} \to (\pi^-,\ \rho^-)D_2^{*+}$ are below the present experimental upper limits reported in [1] by a factor of 3∼5. This offers optimistic prospects for their measurements and for additional tests of the model of Ref. [2].
(4) If we use the experimental measurements of $B \to J/\psi K^*(892)$ and $B \to D_s D^*$ [1], we can calculate the following ratios from Table 2: $B^- \to (J/\psi K_2^{*-})/(J/\psi K^{*-}(892)) \approx \overline{B^0} \to (J/\psi K_2^{*0})/(J/\psi K^{*0}(892)) \approx B^- \to (D_s^- D_2^{*0})/(D_s^- D^{*0}) \approx B^0 \to (D_s^+ D_2^{*-})/(D_s^+ D^{*-}) \approx 0.05$. Thus, the ratios $(B \to XT)/(B \to XV)$, which measure the effects of the dynamics due the orbital excitation $V \to T$, turns out to be very suppressed in the $\Delta s = -1$ channels.

In conclusion, in this paper we have computed the Cabibbo-favored decays of B mesons into VT and PT final states, using the non-relativistic quark model of Isgur *et al.* [2]. Our results for the πD_2^* and ρD_2^* decay channels are a factor of 3∼5 below present experimental upper limits [1]. Our results exhibit interesting patterns for final states mesons of higher orbital momentum excitations, which would offer additional tests for the factorization hypothesis and the form factor models for exclusive B decays.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge financial support from Conacyt (GLC) and Colciencias (JHM).

References

[1] R. M. Barnett *et al.*, *The Particle Data Group*, Phys. Rev. **D54**, Part I, (1996).

[2] N. Isgur, D. Scora, B. Grinstein and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. **D39**, 799 (1989).

[3] A. C. Katoch and R. C. Verma, Phys. Rev. **D52**, 1717 (1995).

[4] A. C. Katoch and R. C. Verma, Phys. Rev. **D49**, 1645 (1994).

[5] A. C. Katoch and R. C. Verma, Int. Journal of Mod. Phys. **A11**, 129 (1996).

[6] J. D. Richman, *Progress in Understanding Heavy Flavor Physics*, plenary talk at ICHEP96, Warsaw (1996).

[7] K. Kodama *et al.*, Fermilab E653 Collaboration, Phys. Lett. **B382**, 299 (1996); D. Gibaut *et al.*, CLEO Collaboration, CLEO CONF 95-22 (1995).

[8] C. W. Bernard, J. N. Labrenz and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. **D49**, 2536 (1994).

[9] J. D. Richman and P. R. Burchat, Rev. of Mod. Phys. **67**, 893 (1995).

[10] M. Bauer, B. Stech and M. Wirbel, Z. Phys. **C34**, 103 (1987).

[11] G. Burdman, E. Golowich, J. L. Hewett and S. Pakvasa, Phys. Rev. **D52**, 6383 (1995); M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. **D47**, 1043 (1993); J. J. Godina Nava and G. López Castro, Phys. Rev. **D52**, 2850 (1995).

	Process	Amplitude $\times(V_{cb}V_{ud}^*)$
$\Delta s = 0$	$B^- \to \rho^- D_2^{*0}$	$a_1 f_{\rho^-} m_{\rho^-}^2 \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{B\to D_2^*}(m_\rho^2)$
	$B^- \to D^{*0} a_2^-$	$a_2 f_{D^{*0}} m_{D^{*0}}^2 \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{B\to a_2}(m_{D^*}^2)$
	$\overline{B^0} \to \rho^- D_2^{*+}$	$a_1 f_{\rho^-} m_{\rho^-}^2 \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{B\to D_2^*}(m_\rho^2)$
	$\overline{B^0} \to D^{*0} a_2^0$	$-\, a_2 f_{D^{*0}} m_{D^*}^2 \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{B\to a_2}(m_{D^*}^2)/\sqrt{2}$
	$\overline{B^0} \to D^{*0} f_2$	$a_2 f_{D^{*0}} m_{D^*}^2 \cos\phi_T \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{B\to f_2}(m_{D^*}^2)/\sqrt{2}$
	$\overline{B^0} \to D^{*0} f_2'$	$a_2 f_{D^{*0}} m_{D^*}^2 \sin\phi_T \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{B\to f_2'}(m_{D^*}^2)/\sqrt{2}$
	Process	Amplitude $\times(V_{cb}V_{cs}^*)$
$\Delta s = -1$	$B^- \to D_s^{*-} D_2^{*0}$	$a_1 f_{D_s^*} m_{D_s^*}^2 \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{B\to D_2^{*0}}(m_{D_s^*}^2)$
	$B^- \to J/\psi K_2^{*-}$	$a_2 f_{J/\psi} m_{J/\psi}^2 \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{B\to K_2^*}(m_{J/\psi}^2)$
	$\overline{B^0} \to D_s^{*-} D_2^{*+}$	$a_1 f_{D_s^*} m_{D_s^*}^2 \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{B\to D_2^*}(m_{D_s^*}^2)$
	$\overline{B^0} \to J/\psi \overline{K_2^{*0}}$	$a_2 f_{J/\psi} m_{J/\psi}^2 \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{B\to K_2^*}(m_{J/\psi}^2)$

Table 1. Decay amplitudes for the CKM-favored $B \to VT$ channels with $\Delta s = 0,\ -1$. The tabulated amplitudes must be multiplied by $(G_F/\sqrt{2})\varepsilon^{*\mu\nu}$.

	$B \to PT$	$\mathrm{BR}(B \to PT)$	$B \to VT$	$\mathrm{BR}(B \to VT)$	VT/PT
$\Delta s = 0$	$B^- \to \pi^- D_2^{*0}$	$4.07 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$B^- \to \rho^- D_2^{*0}$	$1.13 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.79
	$B^- \to D^0 a_2^-$	$1.35 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$B^- \to D^{*0} a_2^-$	$2.23 \cdot 10^{-5}$	1.65
	$\overline{B^0} \to \pi^- D_2^{*+}$	$4.06 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$\overline{B^0} \to \rho^- D_2^{*+}$	$1.13 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.80
	$\overline{B^0} \to D^0 a_2^0$	$6.79 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$\overline{B^0} \to D^{*0} a_2^0$	$1.13 \cdot 10^{-5}$	1.66
	$\overline{B^0} \to D^0 f_2$	$7.34 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$\overline{B^0} \to D^{*0} f_2$	$1.17 \cdot 10^{-5}$	1.60
	$\overline{B^0} \to D^0 f_2'$	$8.73 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$\overline{B^0} \to D^{*0} f_2'$	$2.01 \cdot 10^{-7}$	2.30
$\Delta s = -1$	$B^- \to D_s^- D_2^{*0}$	$2.69 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$B^- \to D_s^{*-} D_2^{*0}$	$1.05 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.91
	$B^- \to \eta_c K_2^{*-}$	$8.08 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$B^- \to J/\psi K_2^{*-}$	$7.62 \cdot 10^{-5}$	9.43
	$\overline{B^0} \to D_s^- D_2^{*+}$	$2.69 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$\overline{B^0} \to D_s^{*-} D_2^{*+}$	$1.05 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.91
	$\overline{B^0} \to \eta_c \overline{K_2^{*0}}$	$7.53 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$\overline{B^0} \to J/\psi \overline{K_2^{*0}}$	$7.55 \cdot 10^{-5}$	10.03

Table 2. Branching ratios for Cabibbo-favored $B \to PT,\ VT$ decays with $\Delta s = 0,\ -1$. Last column shows the ratio for VT/PT branching ratios.